## WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

United States of America
--------------------------

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Jose	e Lino Mendoza-Sanchez	Case Number:	15-01288MJ-001	
represented by	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance he defendant pending trial in this case.	e of the evidence the defendant i	en held. Defendant was present and wa s a serious flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to app	ear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years in	nprisonment.	
The (	Court incorporates by reference the mater ime of the hearing in this matter, except as	ial findings of the Pretrial Service s noted in the record.	s Agency which were reviewed by the	
	СО	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendation of condition or combination of condition		pearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIO	NS REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correction pending appe	defendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practical eal. The defendant shall be afforded a real eart of the United States or an request of earts.	ole, from persons awaiting or servisonable opportunity for private of	ring sentences or being held in custody consultation with defense counsel. On	

order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to	be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify
Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District (	Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to
nterview and investigate the potential third party custodian.	$\bigcap A = A = A$
NATE: April 20, 2015	Old. Mil
DATE: <u>April 20, 2015</u>	

JOHN A. BUTTRICK United States Magistrate Judge